

under call and properly all members should be in their seats. Senator Conway, turn on your green light, please, and Senator Beutler, please. Senator Labedz, please. Senator Landis. Senator Warner, your speaking light is on, but not your green light. Okay, well then that's...thank you for telling me. He is here. He wants to speak. Senator Wesely and Senator Pirsch. Senator Wesely, Pirsch. You are next in the speaking order, Senator Warner, if you want...there you are. Senator Beutler, please. Senator Beutler. Okay, members should remain in your seats, the house is under call and the debate is on the motion of Senator DeCamp to override the veto of 280. The Chair recognizes Senator Gerald Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I will not vote to override. As LB 280 was introduced and 280A, it was my intention to stay with that bill all the way including a veto, if there would have been one, and I have no quarrel with the need, but times have all changed. As I understood, and if I'm in error I can be corrected, as I understood when the A bill was amended that a part of the concept was that there was going to be a million dollars of federal funds that would make up part of the shortfall for this year. However, if that was done, that then the state would have to pick that million up next year. It would be built into the base or at least it would be expected to. It was also my understanding that if the veto had stood well that so-called million dollars would be spread a half a million per year this year and next year. Eventually you'd be picking that up as well, but you would be picking up half as much. I have been reading, listening to many of you visit. There is a lots of ideas being discussed which is appropriate on what to do with the revenue issues, appropriation issues. The popular number is \$30 million cut. I'll tell you if it is flat in the economy, and a lot of you think it will be, all you've got to do is look at the sheet that was passed out the other day and you see we will be making a \$30 million cut next year before you start in the existing programs because you've got \$30 million of add. You override this as I understand what was proposed and you've got another million and we're pushing problems ahead. As I have said to a few people, it's not my election next year, but I do have a concern about what prudent government is. We've been through this a couple of other times, some of you will remember, we did a short-term solution, ignoring the next year and then had